2007 Vol. 9, No. 18 3707-3710

Cinchona Alkaloids/TMAF Combination-Catalyzed Nucleophilic Enantioselective Trifluoromethylation of Aryl Ketones

Satoshi Mizuta, Norio Shibata,* Surendar Akiti, Hiroyuki Fujimoto, Shuichi Nakamura, and Takeshi Toru*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Gokiso, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8555, Japan

nozshiba@nitech.ac.jp; toru@nitech.ac.jp

Received July 30, 2007

ABSTRACT

The catalytic, nucleophilic enantioselective trifluoromethylation reaction of both acyclic and cyclic aryl ketones using the Ruppert–Prakash reagent is now at hand, with an operationally simple procedure, based on the combination of ammonium bromide of cinchona alkaloids with TMAF. The procedure is reliable and general. Trifluoromethyl-substituted tetrasubstituted aryl alcohols have been synthesized in up to 94% ee.

Tetrasubstituted α-trifluoromethyl aryl alcohols, represented by CF₃C*(OH)R¹Ar, have extensively provided important building blocks in the design of drug candidates.¹ Among a variety of approaches available for the synthesis of the α-trifluoromethyl aryl alcohols, enantioselective nucleophilic trifluoromethylation of aryl ketones using the Ruppert—Prakash reagent, (trifluoromethyl)trimethylsilane, Me₃SiCF₃, is surely the most straightforward operation for construction of the corresponding chiral molecules. However, chiral auxiliary based diastereoselective trifluoromethylation is still the most widely applied approach in the field with enantioselective catalysis remaining a big challenge.²-4 We recently

(1) (a) Meissner, R. S.; Perkins, J. J.; Kim, Y.; Hanney, B.; McVean, C. A. 2007, WO2007016358. (b) Powers, J. P.; Degraffenreid, M. R.; He, X.; Julian, L.; McMinn, D. L.; Sun, D.; Rew, Y.; Yan, X. 2006, WO2006138508. (c) Degraffenreid, M. R.; He, X.; Powers, J. P.; Sun, D.; Yan, X. 2005, WO2005063247. (d) Bilder, D. M. 2002, WO2002078628. (e) Obach, R.; Scully, D. A. 2000, WO2000071538. (f) Satake, K. 1999, WO 9925714. (g) Young, S. D.; Britcher, S. F.; Payne, L. S.; Tran, L. O.; Lumma, W. C., Jr. 1995, WO9520389.

found 1-fluorobis(phenylsulfonyl)methane (FBSM) to be a synthetic equivalent of a fluoromethide species under the Tsuji—Trost allylic alkylation conditions, providing the palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic monofluoromethylation reaction with high enantiocontrol.^{5a} This strategy was extended to a catalytic enantioselective monofluoromethylation reaction of in situ generated prochiral imines with

^{(2) (}a) Prakash, G. K. S.; Yudin, A. K. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 757–786. (b) Singh, R. P.; Shreeve, J. M. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 7613–7632. (c) Prakash, G. K. S.; Mandal, M. J. Fluorine Chem. 2001, 112, 123–131. (d) Langlois, B. R.; Billard, T.; Roussel, S. J. Fluorine Chem. 2005, 126, 173–179. (e) Lin, P.; Jiang, J. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 3635–3671. (f) Thayer, A. M. Chem. Eng. News 2006, 84, June, 15–24.

^{(3) (}a) Billard, T.; Langlois, B. R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 891–897. (b) Soloshonok, V. A., Ed.; Enantiocontrolled Synthesis of Fluoro-Organic Compounds; Wiley: Chichester, 1999. (c) Ma, J.-A.; Cahard, D. Chem. Rev. 2004. 104, 6119–6146.

^{(4) (}a) Mizuta, S.; Shibata, N.; Sato, T.; Fujimoto, H.; Nakamura, S.; Toru, T. *Synlett* **2006**, 267–270. (b) Sugimoto, H.; Nakamura, S.; Shibata, Y.; Shibata, N.; Toru, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, 47, 1337–1340. (c) Mizuta, S.; Shibata, N.; Ogawa, S.; Fujimoto, H.; Nakamura, S.; Toru, T. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 2575–2577.

FBSM in the presence of a chiral phase transfer catalyst.^{5b} In connection with our studies on the development of a new methodology for asymmetric synthesis,⁶ herein we disclose the highly enantioselective trifluoromethylation of aryl ketones with Me₃SiCF₃ catalyzed by a combination of ammonium bromides of cinchona alkaloids and tetramethylammonium fluoride (TMAF). Our approach is especially attractive because nonfluorinated prochiral substrates can be directly transformed to chiral trifluoromethylated aryl alcohols with tetrasubstituted carbon centers,⁷ with unprecedentedly high enantioselectivities (up to 94% ee), using readily available chiral ammonium bromides of cinchona alkaloids and TMAF (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Chiral ammonium bromides/TMAF combination-catalyzed nucleophilic enantioselective trifluoromethylation of ketones.

Enantioselective trifluoromethylation using Me₃SiCF₃ was initially examined by Iseki et al. in 1994. ^{8a} Chiral ammonium

fluorides derived from cinchona alkaloids catalyzed the trifluoromethylation of aryl ketones to furnish the corresponding trifluoromethylated alcohols with low to moderate enantioselectivities, up to 48% ee. After the report, considerable efforts were devoted to the development of an efficient catalytic system for the nucleophilic enantioselective trifluoromethylation reaction, but the observed enantioselectivity did not improve, except for one example reported by a Pfizer research group. 8c The high enantioselectivity of their target α-trifluoromethyl aryl alcohol was achieved by thorough screening of chiral ammonium fluorides (92% ee). However, the catalyst did not prove to be generally applicable. Their report suggests that the enantioselectivity is highly substrate dependent and that the protocol itself is not ideal because the troublesome preparation and purification of highly hygroscopic anhydrous chiral ammonium fluorides⁹ hamper the catalyst screening for each substrate. During the preparation of our manuscript, Mukaiyama et al. reported the enantioselective trifluoromethylation of aryl ketones catalyzed by ammonium phenoxides of cinchona alkaloids.¹⁰ The enantioselectivity improved to be moderate to high. The procedure is quite interesting; however, it requires the multistep preparation of the chiral ammonium phenoxides using typical anion-exchange resins. Inasmuch as the quaternary ammonium salts of cinchona alkaloids are potential catalysts, such preparations present a formidable obstacle to their use for catalyst screening under standard experimental conditions.

We therefore focused on finding a novel catalytic system for enantioselective trifluoromethylation based on the readily accessible ammonium bromides derived from cinchona alkaloids. 11,12 We started our investigation with the reaction of methyl 2-naphthyl ketone (1a) with Me₃SiCF₃ and screened a broad range of additives as combination catalysts with the chiral ammonium bromide (Table 1). First, a catalytic amount of KF/2H₂O was added to a mixture of 1a and 2.0 equiv of Me₃SiCF₃ in toluene in the presence of a catalytic amount of N-3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzylcinchoninium bromide (3a) at −40 °C. However, even after 1 day of stirring, the reaction did not proceed and only the starting material was detected by TLC (run 1). The poor solubility of KF in toluene presumably hampered the reaction. The polar cosolvent, dichloromethane, was added to aid in solubilization of the additive, but the situation did not improve (run 2). The reaction was then attempted using a more soluble fluoride source, TBAH₂F₃, in toluene/ dichloromethane at -40 °C. We were encouraged to find

3708 Org. Lett., Vol. 9, No. 18, 2007

^{(5) (}a) Fukuzumi, T.; Shibata, N.; Sugiura, M.; Yasui, H.; Nakamura, S.; Toru, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 4973–4977. (b) Mizuta, S.; Shibata, N.; Goto, Y.; Furukawa, T.; Nakamura, S.; Toru, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 6394–6395.

^{(6) (}a) Shibata, N.; Ishimaru, T.; Nakamura, S.; Toru, T. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2007**, *128*, 469–483. (b) Shibata, N.; Kohno, J.; Takai, K.; Ishimaru, T.; Nakamura, S.; Toru, T.; Kanemasa, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 4204–4207. (c) Shibata, N.; Suzuki, E.; Takeuchi, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 10728–10729. (d) Shibata, N.; Suzuki, E.; Asahi, T.; Shiro, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7001–7009. (e) Ishimaru, T.; Shibata, N.; Nagai, J.; Nakamura, S.; Toru, T.; Kanemasa, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 16488–16489. (f) Shibata, N.; Matsunaga, M.; Nakagawa, M.; Fukuzumi, T.; Nakamura, S.; Toru, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 5271–5271. (g) Shibata, N. *Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokaishi* **2006**, *64*, 14–24.

⁽⁷⁾ Enantioselective synthesis of trifluoromethyl tertiary alcohols by catalytic enantioselective alkenylation and phenylation. (a) Motoki, R.; Tomita, D.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, 47, 8083–8086. (b) Martina, S. L. X.; Jagat, R. B. C.; de Vries, J. G.; Feringa, B.; Minnaard, A. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 4093–4095.

^{(8) (}a) Iseki, K.; Nagai, T.; Kobayashi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 3137–3138. (b) Iseki, K.; Kobayashi, Y. *Rev. Heteroat. Chem.* **1995**, *12*, 211–237. (c) Hagiwara, T.; Kobayashi, T.; Fuchikami, T. *Main Group Chem.* **1997**, 2, 13–15. (d) Kuroki, Y.; Iseki, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 8231–8234. (e) Caron, S.; Do, N. M.; Arpin, P.; Larivée, A. *Synthesis* **2003**, 1693–1698. (f) Roussel, S.; Billard, T.; Langlois, B. R.; Saint-James, L. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2005**, *11*, 939–944.

^{(9) (}a) Ando, A.; Miura, T.; Tatematsu, T.; Shioiri, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 1507–1510. (b) Shioiri, T.; Bohsako, A.; Ando, A.; *Heterocycles* **1996**, *42*, 93–97. (c) Horikawa, M.; Busch-Petersen, J.; Corey, E. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 3843–3846.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Nagao, H.; Yamane, Y.; Mukaiyama, T. Chem. Lett. **2007**, *36*, 666–667

⁽¹¹⁾ We have focused on using chiral ammonium bromides for enantio-selective trifluoromethylation since a couple of years ago (up to 40% ee). (a) Shibata, N.; Toru, T. 2005, JP 2005306769. (b) Mizuta, S.; Shibata, N.; Hibino, M.; Nagano, S.; Nakamura, S.; Toru, T. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 8521–8528.

⁽¹²⁾ Just before the submission, enantioselective trifluoromethylation of aldehydes catalyzed by disodium (*R*)-binaphtholate with an ammonium bromide of cinchona alkaloid appeared (up to 71% ee). Zhao, H.; Qin, B.; Liu, X.; Feng, X. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 6822–6826.

Table 1. Optimization of Additives for Enantioselective Trifluoromethylation Catalyzed by Chiral Ammonium Bromide

run	${ m additive}^a { m solvent}$		temp (°C)	time (h)	yield (%)	ee ^b (%)
1	KF/2H ₂ O	toluene	-40	24	NR^{j}	_
2	$KF/2H_2O$	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-40	24	NR^{j}	_
3	$TBAH_2F_3$	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-40	2	83	25
4	TBAT	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-40	2	89	18
5	LiOAc	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-40	2	99	19
6	TMAA	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-40	24	NR^{j}	_
7	TBAF/H ₂ O	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-40	2	94	22
8	TEAF/H ₂ O	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-40	2	38	66
9	TMAF	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-40	8	65	70
10	TMAF	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-60	2	65	81
11	TMAF	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^d$	-60	8	70	82
12	TMAF	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^e$	-60	8	48	79
13	TMAF	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-80	2	53	80
14	TMAF	toluene	-80	24	30	72
15	TMAF	$\mathrm{CH_{2}Cl_{2}}$	-80	3	56	71
16^f	TMAF	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-80	12	98	87
17^g	TMAF	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-60	6	87	85
18^h	TMAF	toluene/ $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}^c$	-60	3	70	77^i

^a TBAH₂F₃, tetrabutyl ammonium dihydrogen trifluoride; TBAT, tetrabutylammonium triphenyldifluorosilicate; TMAA, tetramethylammonium acetate; TBAF, tetrabutylammonium fluoride; TEAF, tetraethylammonium fluoride. ^b Determined by Chiralcel OD-H eluting with 5% *i*-PrOH in hexane. (*R*)-2a was obtained. The absolute stereochemistry of 2a was tentatively assumed. See footnote in Table 2. ^c Toluene/CH₂Cl₂ = 2:1. ^d Toluene/CH₂Cl₂ = 1:1. ^c Toluene/CH₂Cl₂ = 1:2. ^f 20 mol % of TMAF was used. ^g Catalyst 3b was used instead of 3a. ^h Catalyst 3c was used instead of 3a. ⁱ (S)-2a was obtained. ^j NR: no reaction.

that trifluoromethylated adduct 2a was formed in 83% yield with 25% ee (run 3). This preliminary result encouraged us to investigate other combinations in an attempt to improve enantioselectivity. After screening several additives (TBAT, LiOAc, TMAA, TBAF, TEAF), we found that the tetramethylammonium fluoride (TMAF) combination in toluene/ dichloromethane at -40 °C affected the enantioselective trifluoromethylation of 1a to furnish 2a in 65% yield with 70% ee (run 9). These results clearly indicate the combined effect of the additives and chiral ammonium bromide on the enantioselectivity. The enantioselectivity was improved by lowering the temperature to -80 °C (runs 10-13). A mixed solvent system was ascertained to be suitable after screening the reaction with different ratios of toluene/dichloromethane (1:0 to 0:1, v/v, runs 10-15). When the reaction was performed in toluene/dichloromethane (2:1) at -80 °C, the best result was achieved with the catalyst combination of **3a** (10 mol %) and TMAF (20 mol %) (98% and 87% ee, run 16). It is interesting to note that biscinchoninium derivative **3b** was found to be equally effective. The CF₃ adduct was obtained in 87% yield with 85% ee when the reaction was carried out using a combination of 3b with TMAF (10 mol %) in toluene/dichloromethane (2:1) at -60 °C (run 17). The antipode of 2a was obtained by using the pseudoenantiomeric N-3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzylcin-chonidinium bromide (3c) in 70% yield with 77% ee (run 18).

With conditions optimized, the scope of our trifluoromethylation reaction using the chiral ammonium bromide/ TMAF catalyst was investigated in terms of substrates (Table 2). As three types of cinchona alkaloids 3a-c are almost

Table 2. Enantioselective Trifluoromethylation of **1** with Me₃SiCF₃ Catalyzed by a **3b**/TMAF Combination^a

entry	1	Ar	\mathbb{R}^1	time (h)	yield (%)	ee ^b (%)
1	1a	naphthyl	Me	6	87	85
$2^{c,d,e}$	1b	6-Me-2-naphthyl	Me	30	72	91
3	1b	6-Me-2-naphthyl	Me	30	74	88
4^c	1c	6-MeO-naphthyl	Me	24	59	78
5	1c	6-MeO-naphthyl	Me	8	74	87
6^c	1d	$4\text{-BrC}_6\mathrm{H}_4$	Me	3	71	70
7	1d	$4\text{-BrC}_6\mathrm{H}_4$	Me	3	81	86
8^e	1e	$4\text{-BrC}_6\mathrm{H}_4$	\mathbf{Et}	12	84	93
9	1f	Ph	\mathbf{Et}	14	65	82
10	1g	Ph	propyl	7	83	76
11^d	1h	$4 ext{-MeOC}_6 ext{H}_4$	Me	7	84	89
12	1i	$4\text{-ClC}_6\mathrm{H}_4$	Me	14	71	87
13	1j	$4\text{-FC}_6\mathrm{H}_4$	Me	7	96	87
14	1k	$4 ext{-MeC}_6 ext{H}_4$	Me	12	94	88
15	11	$3\text{-BrC}_6\mathrm{H}_4$	Me	8	73	71
16^d	1m	$3-ClC_6H_4$	Me	4	80	74
$17^{c,f}$	1n	$3-NO_2C_6H_4$	Me	7	96	64
$18^{c,d}$	1o	$4-NO_2C_6H_4$	Me	3	97	52
19	1p	1-tetralone		3	75	94
$20^{d,g}$	1q	6-methoxy-1-tetralone		24	82	86
21	1r	1-indanone		12	34	74
22	1s	1-benzosuberon		2	53	73
23	1t	PhCH=CH	Me	3	85	70
24	1u	2-natphtyl	H	24	93	41
25	1v	$PhCH_2CH_2$	Me	6	37	10

^a The reaction started at −60 °C, and it was kept at −60 °C to −50 °C. ^b Determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H, AD-H, or OJ-H eluting with 5% *i*-PrOH in hexane) or GC analysis. The absolute stereochemistry of the newly generated stereocenter in **2n** was determined by comparing retention times of HPLC analysis reported by Mukaiyama et al., ¹⁰ and the stereochemistry of other trifluoromethylated alcohols **2** was tentatively assumed by analogy. ^c Catalyst **3a** was used instead of **3b**. ^d 20 mol % of TMAF was used. ^e The reaction was carried out at −80 °C to −70 °C. ^f The reaction was carried out in the presence of MS 4A. ^g The reaction was carried out at −50 °C to −40 °C.

equally effective (see runs 16–18, Table 1), the ammonium bromide **3b** was selected due to its better reactivity at –60 °C (runs 16–18 in Table 1, and entry 1 in Table 2). We found that our **3b**/TMAF combination is an extremely general catalyst for the asymmetric trifluoromethylation reaction of a broad range of alkyl aryl ketones **1a**–**m** that

Org. Lett., Vol. 9, No. 18, 2007

have enolizable protons as well as functional groups such as halogenyl and methoxy moieties. The desired products 2a-m were obtained in high yields with up to 93% ee (entries 1–16). Trifluoromethylation of nitro-activated aryl methyl ketones (1n and 1o) with Me₃SiCF₃ catalyzed by the cinchona alkaloid combination shows somewhat low enantioselectivities in very high yields, due to the high reactivity of 1n-o to Me₃SiCF₃ (entries 17 and 18). The absolute stereochemistry of a newly generated stereocenter in 2n was determined by comparing retention times of HPLC analysis reported by Mukaiyama et al., 10 and the stereochemistry of other trifluoromethylated alcohols 2 was tentatively assumed by analogy. It should be mentioned that cyclic ketones such as 1-tetralones and 1-indanone (1p-s) gave good to high enantioselectivities: the corresponding cyclic trifluoromethylated alcohols 2p-s were produced with excellent enantioselectivities of 74-94% ee (entries 19-22). The results are quite impressive because these chiral cyclic α-trifluoromethylated alcohols with a quaternary carbon center 2p-s are difficult to prepare by other methodologies, and the acyclic derivatives might be obtained by different strategies.⁷ Furthermore, selective 1,2-addition occurred in the trifluoromethylation of trans-4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (1t) to furnish chiral trans-α-trifluoromethyl allylic alcohol 2t with a quaternary carbon center in 85% yield with 70% ee (entry 23). The 3/TMAF combination was not effective for the enantioselective trifluoromethylation of aryl aldehyde 1u and purely aliphatic ketone 1v (entries 24 and 25).

In conclusion, we have devised an effective catalytic system, chiral ammonium bromide/TMAF, for the enantio-selective trifluoromethylation of acyclic and cyclic aryl ketones with Me₃SiCF₃ furnishing trifluoromethylated alcohols with a tetrasubstituted carbon atom, in high yields and with very good enantioselectivities. An operationally simple

procedure based on the combination strategy is particularly suitable for the introduction of a CF₃ unit into drug candidates with a complex structure. Although the reactive species in the reaction has not yet been ascertained, any combination effects and an in situ generation of chiral ammonium fluoride from the combination could not be ruled out. 11,13 The obtained high enantioselectivity could result from π -stacking interactions between the aromatic ring in the substrate and those present in the catalyst, and the details are under investigation by DFT study and X-ray crystallographic analysis of the catalysts. Enantioselective monofluoromethylation as well as difluoromethylation reactions of aryl ketones to provide the tetrasubstituted mono- and difluoromethylated alcohols are under investigation based on this strategy.

Acknowledgment. Support was provided by JSPS KAK-ENHI (19390029), by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas "Advanced Molecular Transformations of Carbon Resources" from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (19020024), and by Japan Science & Technology (JST) Agency. We also thank TOSOH F-TECH, INC., for a gift of Me₃SiCF₃.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectral data for all compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL701791R

3710 Org. Lett., Vol. 9, No. 18, 2007

^{(13) (}a) Jew, S.; Lee, J.-H.; Jeong, B.-S.; Yoo, M.-S.; Kim, M.-J.; Lee, Y.-J.; Lee, J.; Choi, S.; Lee, K.; Lah, M. S.; Park, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 1383–1385. (b) Ooi, T.; Doda, K.; Maruoka, K. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 1273–1276.